

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MARCUS D. YOUNG,

Petitioner,

vs.

ROBERT LEGRAND, *et. al.*,

Respondents.

3:12-cv-0474-LRH-WGC

**ORDER**

Marcus D. Young, a Nevada inmate, has filed a *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and his application to proceed *in forma pauperis* has been granted. ECF No. 3. Petitioner has also clarified the information contained in his petition for writ of habeas corpus to demonstrate, at least for purposes of the Court's initial review pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, that it was filed within the one-year limitations period. ECF No. 5. Thus, the respondents shall be directed to file their Answer or other response to the petition.

A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which petitioner is aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. §2254(b) (successive petitions). If petitioner is aware of any claim not included in his petition, he should notify the Court of that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a motion to amend his petition to add the claim.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the respondents shall have **forty-five (45)** days from entry of this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer or other response, respondents shall address any claims presented by petitioner in his petition as well as any claims

1 presented by petitioner in any Statement of Additional Claims. Respondents shall raise all potential  
2 affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and procedural default.  
3 **Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained.** If an answer is filed, respondents shall comply  
4 with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the United States District Courts  
5 under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have **forty-five (45) days** from the date of  
6 service of the answer to file a reply.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney General  
8 of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for consideration  
9 by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date  
10 that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney General. The Court may  
11 disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this action,  
12 petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy Attorney General assigned to the case.

13 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents herein  
14 shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The CM/ECF  
15 attachments that are filed shall further be identified by the number or numbers (or letter or letters) of the  
16 exhibits in the attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court record exhibits shall be forwarded –  
17 for this case – to the staff attorneys in Reno.

18 Dated this 5th day of November, 2012.



21 LARRY R. HICKS  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE